Exhibit 5 to Exhibit A

Case 5:11-cv-00053-JRG Document 486-6 Filed 06/04/13 Page 2 of 6 PageID #: 23087

CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY PAUL SHEN, 30(b)(6) - 1/18/2013

IN THE UN	ITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
FOR THE	EASTERN DISTRICT	OF TEXAS
	MARSHALL DIVISIO	N
	000	
MOTOROLA MOBILITY GENERAL INSTRUMEN CORPORATION, Pl	•	
VS.)	No. 5:11-0053-JRG
TIVO, INC., De	fendant.)	
	unterclaim) aintiff,)	
MOTOROLA MOBILITY GENERAL INSTRUMEN CORPORATION, TIME INC., and TIME WALLC,	T) WARNER CABLE)	
	unterclaim) fendants.)	
VIDEOTAPED 30	(b)(6) DEPOSITIO	N OF MOTOROLA
	NAL DEPOSITION O	
	JANUARY 18, 201	3
CONFIDENTIAL	- OUTSIDE ATTORN	
REPORTED BY: SAR	AH LUCIA BRANN,	CSR 3887

Merrill Corporation - Los Angeles www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-826-0277

	Page 10			Page 12
09:10:07 1	Q. What kind?	09:13:02	1	Q. Do you remember roughly what the fee was?
09:10:08 2		09:13:04	2	A. Roughly about \$70,000.
09:10:11 3		09:13:06	3	Q. \$70,000?
09:10:19 4		09:13:07	4	A. Yeah.
09:10:21 5		09:13:14	5	Q. Is there any type of bonus or
09:10:26 6	-	09:13:18	6	A. No.
09:10:29 7		09:13:19	7	Q additional payment if the case goes
09:10:35 8		09:13:22	8	Motorola's way?
09:10:38 9	_	09:13:24	9	A. No.
09:10:44 10		09:13:24	10	(Deposition Exhibit 1
09:10:46 11	purchased by	09:13:24	11	was marked for identification.)
09:10:49 12	A. All the major networks, from ABC, CBS,	09:13:57	12	MR. YORKS: Q. Sir, you have been handed
09:10:52 13	NBC, CNN, all using this product. It's deployed	09:13:59	13	what's been marked as Exhibit Number 1, which is
09:10:59 14	over 60 countries. Over 2,000 units have been	09:14:01	14	titled "TiVo's Second Notice of Deposition of
09:11:02 15	deployed.	09:14:07	15	Motorola Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6)."
09:11:02 16	(Clarification requested by reporter.)	09:14:10	16	Have you ever seen this document before?
09:11:02 17	THE WITNESS: 60 countries.	09:14:16	17	A. Yes, I believe so.
09:11:03 18	MR. YORKS: Q. Is this a privately held	09:14:18	18	Q. And if you look at page nine of the
09:11:06 19	company?	09:14:35	19	document, there's a heading "Topics for
09:11:06 20	A. Yes.	09:14:38	20	Examination."
09:11:17 21	Q. I am sorry. I didn't catch the name. The	09:14:42	21	Do you see that?
09:11:21 22	new company is Tune?	09:14:43	22	A. Yes.
09:11:22 23	A. TVU	09:14:44	23	Q. And then if you go to page 19, there's
09:11:24 24	Q. TVU. I am sorry.	09:14:56	24	topics. And is it your understanding or do you
09:11:25 25	A Networks. Right here.	09:15:01	25	understand that you have been designated by Motorola
	Page 11			Page 13
09:11:37	Q. Does TVU have any type of relationship	09:15:03	1	to testify regarding topics 81 through 83, 85
	with Motorola?	09:15:10	2	through 89, 93 through 97, and 111?
	3 A. No.	09:15:15	3	A. Yes.
	4 Q. Have you been retained by Motorola or	09:15:19	4	Q. Do you understand you have also been
	5 Motorola's counsel in this matter?	09:15:20	5	noticed for a deposition individually, so that you
	6 A. No. Just for this, finding this	09:15:24	6	are to testify about any knowledge that you have
	7 information.	09:15:25	7	personally?
09:12:16	Q. You haven't been retained as a consultant	09:15:26	8	A. Yes.
09:12:18	by Motorola or Motorola's counsel?	09:15:26	9	(Deposition Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3
09:12:22 1	· ·	09:15:26	10	were marked for identification.)
09:12:28	Q. Are you being paid for your time today,	09:16:17	11	MR. YORKS: Q. Sir, you have been handed
09:12:30		09:16:19	12	two documents. The first one has been marked
09:12:30	A. I am being paid for today's time as a	09:16:21	13	Exhibit Number 2, and it's US Patent Number
09:12:35	yeah, for this case. Not just specific for this	09:16:24	14	6,304,714. And the second document has been marked
09:12:38		09:16:28	15	as Exhibit Number 3, and it is US Patent Number
09:12:39	Q. And is there an hourly rate that you are	09:16:32	16	5,949,948.
09:12:41	7 charging?	09:16:35	17	And let's start with the Exhibit Number 2.
09:12:42		09:16:38	18	And if it's okay, I am going to refer to this patent
09:12:42		09:16:41	19	as the '714 patent, which is the last three digits.
09:12:44		09:16:46	20	Is that okay?
09:12:47		09:16:47	21	Have you ever seen this patent before,
09:12:54		09:16:49	22	sir?
09:12:57		09:16:49	23	A. Yes.
09:12:59		09:16:53	24	Q. When is the last time that you reviewed
09:13:00 2	A. I don't remember the exact number.	09:16:55	25	this patent?

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

		Page 50			Page 52
10:28:06	1	much has been implemented. But we did something,	10:31:08	1	MR. YORKS: Q. And was there a Peter
10:28:12	2	just didn't finish it.	10:31:10	2	Vandergracht at Imedia?
10:28:13	3	Q. So, to your knowledge, Imedia never had a	10:31:12	3	A. Yes.
10:28:18	4	prototype which could receive an incoming broadcast	10:31:13	4	Q. And who was he?
10:28:22	5	program, store it on the drive, and then play back	10:31:16	5	A. He was brought in as replacement for Efi
10:28:26	6	that program and perform trick modes in the	10:31:26	6	Arazi.
10:28:29	7	prototype?	10:31:27	7	Q. So he became the CEO of Imedia?
10:28:30	8	A. That's correct.	10:31:30	8	A. Yes.
10:28:38	9	Q. When did Imedia first learn about TiVo?	10:31:31	9	Q. Replacing Efi Arazi?
10:28:51	10	A. I don't remember exactly the date. I	10:31:34	10	A. Yes.
10:28:52	11	remember I read some newspaper articles.	10:31:35	11	Q. Do you recall whether Efi Arazi, I mean,
10:29:04	12	Q. Did you have any impression or thought	10:31:37	12	Peter Vandergracht ever approached TiVo about
10:29:05	13	about the TiVo products relative to Imedia?	10:31:41	13	licensing or infringement of Imedia patents?
10:29:16	14	A. I remember I said, "Gee, exactly what we	10:31:45	14	A. Not that I am aware of. Efi I mean, he
10:29:19	15	want to do."	10:31:48	15	is not telling he didn't tell me everything he
	16	And also we were saying comment I	10:31:51		was doing, so
	17	was commenting about Geoffrey Yang. He, at the time	10:31:52		(Deposition Exhibit 10
10:29:29	18	when we pitched to him, he doesn't think it's a big	10:32:05		was marked for identification.)
10:29:36	19	deal, and kind of like his investing.	10:32:13	19	MR. YORKS: Q. Mr. Shen, you have been
10:29:37	20	THE REPORTER: He doesn't think it's?	10:32:14		handed what's been marked Exhibit Number 10, Bates
10:29:37	21	THE WITNESS: It was a big deal. He did		21	TIVO-T53-MOT-0007292. This is an email to a Stewart
	22	not believe say it was a big market, an easy		22	Alsop, and then there is a cc to Peter Vandergracht,
10:29:44		market for the consumer product.	10:32:32		Imedia. Is that the Peter Vandergracht you just
10:29:47		MR. YORKS: Q. Did Imedia believe that	10:32:37		testified about?
10:29:53	25	TiVo infringed its patents?	10:32:40	25	A. Hmm?
1					
		Page 51			Page 53
10:29:57	1		10:32:41	1	
10:29:57 10:29:57	1 2	Page 51 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:32:41 10:32:43		Page 53 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes.
		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes.		2	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes.
10:29:57	2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.	10:32:43	2	Q. Is that the Peter
10:29:57 10:29:58	2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal	10:32:43 10:32:44	2 3 4	Q. Is that the PeterA. Yes.Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59	2 3 4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer.	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47	2 3 4 5	Q. Is that the PeterA. Yes.Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that theCEO of Imedia?
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00	2 3 4 5	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:49	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Is that the PeterA. Yes.Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that theCEO of Imedia?A. Yes.
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02	2 3 4 5 6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes.	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:49 10:32:56	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents?	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent.	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that?
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:11 10:30:16	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was.	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes.
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:11 10:30:16 10:30:18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999?	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:07 10:33:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia
10:29:57 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:16 10:30:18 10:30:23 10:30:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date.	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo?
10:29:57 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:16 10:30:16 10:30:23 10:30:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly?	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:13 10:33:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No.
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:11 10:30:16 10:30:23 10:30:25 10:30:25 10:30:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:13 10:33:17 10:33:22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:11 10:30:18 10:30:23 10:30:25 10:30:25 10:30:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news articles. I don't remember exactly. Q. Did Imedia ever approach TiVo about licensing Imedia patents?	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:17 10:33:22 10:33:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia about TiVo? A. No. Peter came in very short. Really, they don't know much about the company.
10:29:57 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:16 10:30:18 10:30:25 10:30:25 10:30:30 10:30:30 10:30:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news articles. I don't remember exactly. Q. Did Imedia ever approach TiVo about	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:17 10:33:22 10:33:23 10:33:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia about TiVo? A. No. Peter came in very short. Really, they don't know much about the company. Q. Now, you said that, when you saw the press
10:29:57 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:11 10:30:16 10:30:18 10:30:25 10:30:25 10:30:30 10:30:30 10:30:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news articles. I don't remember exactly. Q. Did Imedia ever approach TiVo about licensing Imedia patents? A. I wasn't involved on that side anymore, on the legal side, so	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:17 10:33:22 10:33:23 10:33:25 10:33:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia about TiVo? A. No. Peter came in very short. Really, they don't know much about the company. Q. Now, you said that, when you saw the press release on TiVo, that you thought that TiVo may be
10:29:57 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:16 10:30:18 10:30:25 10:30:25 10:30:30 10:30:30 10:30:30 10:30:43 10:30:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news articles. I don't remember exactly. Q. Did Imedia ever approach TiVo about licensing Imedia patents? A. I wasn't involved on that side anymore, on the legal side, so Q. Who would that have been?	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:49 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:17 10:33:22 10:33:23 10:33:23 10:33:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia about TiVo? A. No. Peter came in very short. Really, they don't know much about the company. Q. Now, you said that, when you saw the press
10:29:57 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:07 10:30:16 10:30:18 10:30:23 10:30:25 10:30:30 10:30:30 10:30:48 10:30:48 10:30:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news articles. I don't remember exactly. Q. Did Imedia ever approach TiVo about licensing Imedia patents? A. I wasn't involved on that side anymore, on the legal side, so Q. Who would that have been? A. I don't it has to be Efi Arazi, the	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:17 10:33:22 10:33:23 10:33:25 10:33:33 10:33:41 10:33:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia about TiVo? A. No. Peter came in very short. Really, they don't know much about the company. Q. Now, you said that, when you saw the press release on TiVo, that you thought that TiVo may be infringing the Imedia patent; is that correct? A. Yes.
10:29:57 10:29:58 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:07 10:30:11 10:30:16 10:30:23 10:30:25 10:30:30 10:30:30 10:30:48 10:30:51 10:30:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news articles. I don't remember exactly. Q. Did Imedia ever approach TiVo about licensing Imedia patents? A. I wasn't involved on that side anymore, on the legal side, so Q. Who would that have been?	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:56 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:17 10:33:22 10:33:23 10:33:25 10:33:33 10:33:41 10:33:43 10:33:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia about TiVo? A. No. Peter came in very short. Really, they don't know much about the company. Q. Now, you said that, when you saw the press release on TiVo, that you thought that TiVo may be infringing the Imedia patent; is that correct?
10:29:57 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:11 10:30:16 10:30:18 10:30:25 10:30:25 10:30:30 10:30:43 10:30:43 10:30:44 10:30:51 10:30:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news articles. I don't remember exactly. Q. Did Imedia ever approach TiVo about licensing Imedia patents? A. I wasn't involved on that side anymore, on the legal side, so Q. Who would that have been? A. I don't it has to be Efi Arazi, the CEO. (Clarification requested by reporter.)	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:47 10:32:58 10:32:58 10:33:03 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:17 10:33:22 10:33:23 10:33:33 10:33:41 10:33:41 10:33:53 10:33:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia about TiVo? A. No. Peter came in very short. Really, they don't know much about the company. Q. Now, you said that, when you saw the press release on TiVo, that you thought that TiVo may be infringing the Imedia patent; is that correct? A. Yes.
10:29:57 10:29:59 10:30:00 10:30:02 10:30:04 10:30:11 10:30:16 10:30:18 10:30:25 10:30:25 10:30:30 10:30:43 10:30:43 10:30:51 10:30:51 10:30:56 10:31:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. MR. YORKS: Q. And when did you believe that TiVo infringed patents? A. We when we heard that they funded that company, we said, you know, we have the patent. That's exactly what we thought it was. Q. Would that be approximately 1999? A. I don't remember exact date. Q. Roughly? A. When we saw the press release or some news articles. I don't remember exactly. Q. Did Imedia ever approach TiVo about licensing Imedia patents? A. I wasn't involved on that side anymore, on the legal side, so Q. Who would that have been? A. I don't it has to be Efi Arazi, the CEO.	10:32:43 10:32:44 10:32:49 10:32:58 10:32:58 10:33:07 10:33:10 10:33:10 10:33:17 10:33:22 10:33:23 10:33:41 10:33:41 10:33:55 10:33:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Is that the Peter A. Yes. Q. The Peter Vandergracht here, is that the CEO of Imedia? A. Yes. Q. The email states, "We are investors in a company called Imedia that enables extreme video compression. Thought that there might be synergies with TiVo," period. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall any discussions in Imedia about synergies with TiVo? A. No. Q. You don't recall any discussions at Imedia about TiVo? A. No. Peter came in very short. Really, they don't know much about the company. Q. Now, you said that, when you saw the press release on TiVo, that you thought that TiVo may be infringing the Imedia patent; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And were you employed at Imedia at that

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

		Page 74			Page 76
11:14:40	1	belief; is that correct?	11:18:27	1	a coffee table, in a glass, encased. But I don't
11:14:42	2	A. Either direction, whether he provided or	11:18:32	2	know where it is.
11:14:44	3	he didn't provide. I don't know.	11:18:33	3	Q. But do you think it exists?
11:14:59	4	Q. Did Imedia ever contemplate filing a	11:18:35	4	A. I you know, once it goes through two
11:15:06	5	lawsuit against TiVo?	11:18:39	5	hands, three hands, no, I don't know where it is.
11:15:15	6	A. We were so focused on the cable StatMux	11:18:42	6	Q. Oh, because it went to Terayon and
11:15:21	7	CherryPick product, we didn't have any attention at	11:18:45	7	Motorola?
11:15:30	8	the time on the PVR side. So we know they violate	11:18:45	8	A. Yeah, exactly. Now Google. And who knows
11:15:46	9	our patent, but we did not, say, actively go after	11:18:47	9	where it is? Lots of excess movement. Not many
11:15:50	10	them.	11:18:52	10	people really understand, because you see like a PC,
11:15:51	11	And it's a big distraction. The company	11:18:54	11	computer, so
11:15:53	12	did not have a lot of money to do anything, so we	11:18:56	12	Q. But you understand that the code relative
11:16:00	13	never sought out that.	11:18:58	13	to the Imedia prototype was produced in this case?
11:16:06	14	Q. So Imedia never bothered with filing any	11:19:02	14	A. Yes.
11:16:13	15	type of action against TiVo, because it was focused	11:19:04	15	Q. Okay. And I guess that must have been
11:16:16	16	on a different product?	11:19:11	16	kept, then, from Imedia to Terayon; is that correct?
11:16:18	17	A. At the time, yes.	11:19:14	17	A. No, I
11:16:19	18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. Form.	11:19:16	18	Q. Do you know where that code came from?
11:16:21	19	MR. YORKS: Q. This is in 1999, roughly;	11:19:18	19	A. I have archived tapes.
11:16:23	20	correct?	11:19:21	20	Q. You do?
11:16:23	21	A. Yes.	11:19:22	21	A. I do.
11:16:36	22	Q. Was the belief that TiVo may infringe the	11:19:22	22	Q. Which is probably what you are going to be
11:16:44	23	Imedia patents communicated to Terayon?	11:19:24	23	discussing.
11:16:49	24	A. I don't recall. It was too short, so I	11:19:29	24	Okay. Can you tell me these tapes that
11:16:51	25	really didn't have a chance to sit down, discuss all	11:19:32	25	you have, you have kept them personally all these
		Page 75			Page 77
11:16:54	1	the detail, what intellectual property TVU had. Not	11:19:35	1	years?
11:17:03	2	TVU. Imedia at the time.	11:19:35	2	A. Yes.
11:17:06	3	Q. Do you know whether there was any type of	11:19:42	3	Q. And these tapes include code from the
11:17:09	4	legal analysis on whether TiVo infringed any of the	11:19:44	4	Imedia prototype that we have been discussing today?
11:17:13	5	Imedia patents?	11:19:47	5	A. Yes.
11:17:18	6	A. I not that I am aware of.	11:19:52	6	Q. Why did you keep these tapes?
11:17:38	7	MR. YORKS: Okay. I have no further	11:19:55	7	A. I have each reel from my graduate school.
11:17:40	8	questions for this witness.	11:20:03	8	I'm archiving all this work I do.
11:17:42	9	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. I just have a few.	11:20:03	9	Q. So you are a pack rat?
	10	And this is Sean Cunningham again.	11:20:06		A. Yeah, right. I have one storage house.
11:17:46		I have got a box here	11:20:08		All the stuff is there.
11:17:53		MR. YORKS: Wait. Sorry. I have one more	11:20:17 11:20:17	12 13	MR. YORKS: All right. No further
11:17:54		question.	11:20:17	14	questions, then. EXAMINATION BY MR. CUNNINGHAM
11:17:55		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Go ahead.	11:20:25	15	
11:17:56 11:17:57		MR. YORKS: This relates to maybe authentication.	11:20:26	16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Q. Okay. So I will just pick up where you left off. Would you please look
11:17:59		Q. The prototype that you testified earlier,	11:20:29	17	in this box, Mr. Shen, and tell me what's in it?
	18	is it still around?	11:20:34	18	A. Archiving tapes and disks and a CD.
	19	A. You know, we I do not know. Terayon	11:20:39	19	Q. Where were these tapes found?
	20	should have it. And I think I would say it was an	11:20:40	20	A. Our garage.
11:18:15		acquisition, and we had an office in San Francisco.	11:20:40	21	Q. Your garage?
	22	Where it is, I do not know. It just goes through	11:20:42	22	A. Yes.
11:18:21		that process.	11:20:42	23	Q. What do they contain, just generally?
11:18:21		We were talking about, at the time when we	11:20:45		A. It's a backup. It essentially is all
11:18:23		finished with it, we should put encase in make	11:20:48		backup of my other other than one is the
	-				outer than one is the

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

		Page 78			Page 80
11:20:54	1	backup of the initial movie, burned on a wire.	11:23:22	1	look as you like.
11:20:57	2	Q. Burned on a wire?	11:23:23	2	For the record, I just handed the box
11:20:59	3	A. Yeah.	11:23:25	3	across the table to counsel.
11:20:59	4	Q. Okay.	11:23:27	4	Can I proceed while you are doing that?
11:20:59	5	A. And also one floppy disk is the decoder	11:23:29	5	MR. YORKS: Sure.
11:21:04	6	chip we use in the device driver, the development	11:23:31	6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.
11:21:07	7	kit for the decoder chip.	11:23:31	7	Q. I want you to take a look at one other
11:21:10	8	Q. And did you provide all of these backup	11:23:33	8	document, Mr. Shen. This is was previously
11:21:13	9	tapes and disks to counsel for Motorola?	11:23:36	9	marked at the deposition of Geoff Yang as
11:21:15	10	A. Yes, I did.	11:23:39	10	Exhibit 17, so that's how I am going to refer to it.
11:21:17	11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Counsel, I will make	11:23:42	11	This is titled "Business Projections for
11:21:18	12	copies of this after the deposition, but take a look	11:23:44	12	Imedia Corporation," dated January 1995. Do you see
11:21:20	13	at it. It's the chain-of-custody form. I just want	11:23:47	13	that?
11:21:23	14	to show it to him and ask him a couple of questions.	11:23:47	14	A. Yes.
11:21:40	15	And it bears my signature as of today.	11:23:48	15	Q. Did you have a role in preparing this
11:21:43	16	MR. YORKS: Well, chain of custody oh,	11:23:50	16	business projection?
11:21:46	17	from the time it starts at 2011?	11:23:51	17	A. Yes.
11:21:53	18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.	11:23:51	18	Q. You will see at the top of each page it
11:21:53	19	MR. YORKS: And then what's the next	11:23:54	19	says, "Copy: IVP." Do you see that?
11:21:54	20	entry? 2000	11:23:55	20	A. Yes.
11:21:56	21	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That should be 2011 also.	11:23:56	21	Q. Is this a document that was provided to
11:21:58	22	MR. YORKS: So this is post-suit custody.	11:23:58	22	IVP in the course of Imedia's exchange of materials
11:22:01	23	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Correct.	11:24:01	23	with IVP?
11:22:03	24	So let's mark this as Shen Exhibit 15,	11:24:02	24	A. Yes. This I believe this likely would
11:22:05	25	please.	11:24:08	25	be the one.
		Page 79			Page 81
11:22:05	1	(Deposition Exhibit 15	11:24:09	1	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Those are all the
11:22:05	2	was marked for identification.)	11:24:11	2	questions I have.
11:22:18	3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Q. Now, Mr. Shen, just	11:24:12	3	MR. YORKS: Follow-up questions, now that
11:22:19	4	look at the first page there, the listing that's at	11:24:14	4	we have these tapes.
11:22:21	5	the top of the page. Can you verify that that's an	11:24:15	5	EXAMINATION BY MR. YORKS
11:22:24	6	accurate high-level description of what's on these	11:24:24	6	MR. YORKS: Q. How do you know that these
11:22:26	7	backup tapes?	11:24:25	7	are code from the '94-'95 time period?
11:22:48	8	A. Yeah.	11:24:30	8	A. Let me this is not code. I put a
11:22:49	9	Q. Yes?	11:24:36	9	notation on it. This is burned-on-a-wire tape,
11:22:49	10	A. Yes.	11:24:40	10	specific time interval.
11:22:50		Q. And to your knowledge, since you stored	11:24:43		Q. What is burned on a wire? You mean a
11:22:57		these backup tapes in your garage, have they ever	11:24:45	12	movie?
11:23:00		moved from your garage since the time you stored	11:24:46	13	A. Movie, yes. This is the content. So all
		them there to the time that you handed them over to		14	the tape, I put a notation on it what this tape is
11:23:04		Motorola's counsel?		15	backup as.
11:23:07		A. I moved from one house to the next.	11:24:55	16	Q. Oh, maybe I misunderstood. Is any of the
11:23:07 11:23:08		,	11.01.00	17	code, software code
11:23:07 11:23:08 11:23:11	17	Q. Okay.	11:24:58		
11:23:07 11:23:08 11:23:11 11:23:11	17 18	A. That's that's all.	11:24:59	18	A. One of them
11:23:07 11:23:08 11:23:11 11:23:11 11:23:12	17 18 19	A. That's that's all.Q. Okay. And you moved the tapes when you	11:24:59 11:25:00	19	A. One of themQ. Let me finish.
11:23:07 11:23:08 11:23:11 11:23:11 11:23:12 11:23:14	17 18 19 20	A. That's that's all. Q. Okay. And you moved the tapes when you moved?	11:24:59 11:25:00 11:25:01	19 20	A. One of themQ. Let me finish.Is any of the software code for the
11:23:07 11:23:08 11:23:11 11:23:11 11:23:12 11:23:14 11:23:14	17 18 19 20 21	A. That's that's all. Q. Okay. And you moved the tapes when you moved? A. Yes.	11:24:59 11:25:00 11:25:01 11:25:03	19 20 21	A. One of them Q. Let me finish. Is any of the software code for the prototype that we have been discussing for the
11:23:08 11:23:11 11:23:11 11:23:12 11:23:14 11:23:14 11:23:14	17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's that's all. Q. Okay. And you moved the tapes when you moved? A. Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. Okay. Thank	11:24:59 11:25:00 11:25:01 11:25:03 11:25:05	19 20 21 22	A. One of them Q. Let me finish. Is any of the software code for the prototype that we have been discussing for the virtual VCR in these tapes or disks?
11:23:08 11:23:11 11:23:11 11:23:12 11:23:14 11:23:14 11:23:15 11:23:16	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's that's all. Q. Okay. And you moved the tapes when you moved? A. Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. Okay. Thank you.	11:24:59 11:25:00 11:25:01 11:25:03 11:25:05 11:25:11	19 20 21 22 23	A. One of them Q. Let me finish. Is any of the software code for the prototype that we have been discussing for the virtual VCR in these tapes or disks? A. I believe so.
11:23:08 11:23:11 11:23:11 11:23:12 11:23:14 11:23:14 11:23:14	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That's that's all. Q. Okay. And you moved the tapes when you moved? A. Yes. MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. Okay. Thank	11:24:59 11:25:00 11:25:01 11:25:03 11:25:05	19 20 21 22 23 24	A. One of them Q. Let me finish. Is any of the software code for the prototype that we have been discussing for the virtual VCR in these tapes or disks?

21 (Pages 78 to 81)